



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,644	05/04/2001	Eric J. Horvitz	MS150906.1	1557
27195	7590 09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP			WONG, LESLIE	
24TH FLOOR	, NATIONAL CITY CEN	NTER		
1900 EAST NINTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2167	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Autieus Communication	09/849,644	HORVITZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	June 2005.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-27 and 29-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10, 12-22, and 24-27, and 29-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 11 and 23 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ınce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)	🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/27/2005</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement, filed 27 June 2005, has been received, entered into the record, and considered. See attached form PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C 101.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-12, 26, and 30-32 appear to be directed to software system, per se, lacking the necessary hardware for any functionality to be realized.
- 4. Claims 13-24 and 33-34 appear to be directed to manipulation of an abstract idea (i.e., not concrete or tangible).
- 5. Claim 27, recites "A signal adapted to be transmitted..." is not tied to a machine (i.e., not concrete or tangible). Also, "... <u>adapted to be</u> transmitted" does not recite a

Application/Control Number: 09/849,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

positive limitation as it only requires the ability perform a function, but not actually performing the function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 25 recites "A computer-readable medium storing the computerexecutable component of claim 1". However, claim 1 does not contain any "computer executable component" as its limitation. The claim is unclear that the one ordinarily skilled in the art cannot recognize the encompassed claimed limitation.
- 9. Claim 27, lines 3 and 5, recites "... <u>suitable</u> target directories" is vague. The claim does not clearly set forth the <u>metes and bounds</u> of the limitation that the one ordinarily skilled in the art cannot recognize the encompassed claimed limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/849,644

Art Unit: 2167

10. Claims 11 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Prior art of record fails to teach a combination of elements determining a list scan

penalty for reducing probabilities associated with scanning lists within a directory as

recited in dependent claims 11 and 23.

These features, together with the other limitations of the independent claims are novel and non-obvious over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,644 Page 5

Art Unit: 2167

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2167

LW

September 15, 2005